



NAME OF THE PARTNER

Form 1

Partner's introduction

Name of the partner	Tschlin
Institutional role of the partner	Gemeinde
Name of the sector in charge of managing AlpCity	-
Role of the sector within the partner's administrative structure	-
Partner's expected benefits from the participation to AlpCity	exchange with other communities, learning by mistakes und success
Organisational expectations from the participation to one or more specific WP4-7 (case-projects)	visits between the towns/regions
Expectations from the work of AlpCity Scientific Steering activities	assessment about the chance of success of the project ideas, support to the planning



Form 2

Description of the concerned territory (geographic and/or institutional areas)

Administrative areas within the region	<p>Switzerland, Canon Graubünden, Region Engiadina Bassa, <i>Gemeinde Tschlin</i>.</p> <p>In normal cases Switzerland and the Canton Graubünden give subventions to the region. The region is the main player for the development of the small towns. Tschlin is very busy and more active then the region.</p>
Brief description of the mountain character within the whole partner's territory	<p>From the website (www.tschlin.ch) : „Tschlin liegt im Unterengadin an der Grenze zu Österreich und Italien. Das Gemeindegebiet umfasst eine Fläche von 7473 ha, davon sind 968 ha Wiesen und Äcker, 1895 ha Weiden, 2176 ha Wald und 2434 ha unproduktives Land.“</p> <p>The lowest point of Tschlin has ca. 995 m above sea level, the highest 3294 m.</p> <p>The Engiadina Bassa is an inside-alpine valley with less of rain.</p>
Structure of the towns within the region	<p>-</p>
Names of the case-projects (described in Form 3) and all administrative area/s involved	<p>Development of the town by change of use of the (empty) buildings for a soft tourism. In the centre of the activities stays a new use of the school building in Tschlin. May-be with decentralized hotel-structure.</p> <p>An other project is the including of a restaurant in an old, non used alp-stable.</p>
Number of inhabitants in these areas (please list all individual towns and other administrative levels concerned by each case-project)	<p>Tschlin has three small towns:</p> <p>Tschlin: 173 inhabitants, with the town hall and a school building without pupils</p> <p>Strada: 147 inhabitants, with the school and the post office</p> <p>Martina: 114 inhabitants, with the customs office</p>
Specific issues on the structure of the towns in these mountain areas	<p>decline in population: Loosing people to the main town of the region (scuol) and to the capital of Graubünden (Chur) or the Swiss cities. A lot of owners of the building not living any more in the small towns.</p>



Economic profile of these towns - regional functions - external functions	rural sector: A big part of the population works in the first section tourism: Not 20 km from is the ski-area of Scuol. In the small towns around Scuol a not prospering rural tourism exists toll-free-area: Tschlin own a toll-free-area in the valley of Samnaun and can profit financially of it
Social image of the mountains within the region	nothing special
Policies for the mountain	In Switzerland is an actual discussion about the New Regional Policy. Everything is changing and nobody knows which direction will win.



Form 3

Description of the local activities within AlpCity
(IMPORTANT: please copy and paste the table, and fill one for each distinct case-project)

Title of the case-project	None
Case-project abstract	change of use for empty building to a touristic option, beginning with the school building
Reasons for the choice of this case-project	changing from a rural to a soft-touristic town
Adopted methodology	practice
Expected benefits (local and regional levels)	tourists
Are follow-up activities foreseen ?	sure, may-be an decentralized hotel
Expected value added (other than financial) from the participation to an INTERREG project	?
Output indicators	New successful using of the school building, number of guests in Tschlin
Impact indicators	?
Name of the town/s concerned	Tschlin
Demographic, social and economic situation of the town/s concerned and specifically identified problems	<p>decline in population: Loosing people to the main town of the region (scuol) and to the capital of Graubünden (Chur) or the Swiss cities. A lot of owners of the building not living any more in the small towns.</p> <p>rural sector: A big part of the population works in the first section</p> <p>tourism: Not 20 km from is the ski-area of Scuol. In the small towns around Scuol a not prospering rural tourism exists</p> <p>toll-free-area: Tschlin own a toll-free-area in the valley of Samnaun and can profit financially of it</p>



<p>Role of the mountain resource within the local development chances of the town/s concerned (positive or negative)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - difficult to reach Tschlin, most east point of Switzerland and with the Flüelapass between Tschlin and the Swiss cities - intact nature - living local culture
<p>Expected contribution of the foreseen actions towards the development of the town/s concerned</p>	<p>new chance for the future by giving an new economic basis- a soft tourism</p>
<p>Who is the responsible for the concrete development of the project?</p>	<p>the authority of the community</p>
<p>How are activities sub-contracted?</p>	<p>private Person: Maria Vital consulting: Alpenbüro Netz GmbH</p>
<p>Beside the partner, which administrative authorities are involved in the project? How?</p>	<p>Alliance of the Alps Tschlin is member and obtains consulting from the national assistants</p>
<p>Who are the main local/regional project actors?</p>	<p>political: the community and the members of the authority social: active people</p>
<p>Are there important actors who are not integrated or are opposing the project ?</p>	<p>no</p>
<p>The case is isolated or integrated within a broader frame/ programme of activities? How? How are these activities being financed?</p>	<p>integrated in the development-plan of community</p>



Timetable for the project development (July 2004 - May 2006)	school: 2004: basic-planning: 2005: financial clearing, detail planning, may-be construction work, proofing the idea of the decentralized hotel 2006: may-be construction work, start with the new use Alp Tea: 2004: basic-planning, financial clearing 2005: detail planning, first construction work, financial clearing 2006: financial clearing, further planning
Are experts (non SSC) involved into the case and research activities undertaken for the case? What is their role?	architect expert for tourism&hotellerie planning and Consulting