

AlpCity logo (not ready yet!)

## ALPCITY – PARTNERS AND LOCAL PROJECTS FORMS

### Advice to partners:

Three forms prepared by the Scientific Steering Committee are attached, concerning respectively:

- Form 1 – The partner’s institutional role and capacity
- Form 2 – The partner’s involved territories
- Form 3 – The partner’s local activities within AlpCity

You might be under the impression that we are asking more than once the same information elements, especially in Form 1. But we seriously lack at the moment standard details and data regarding each partner’s project involvement. This makes it very difficult to exchange and share information between partners and to plan common activities. If we want to reach a good level of reciprocal knowledge, it is essential that each partner has a clear view of differences and similarities between the various experiences that will be undertaken within AlpCity.

Thank you for filling the forms in English with the best care and attention, even we appreciate it might be sometime difficult and your analysis may sometime not be perfect at this early stage. This material will allow us all to proceed and be able to integrate further details in the future. You are kindly requested to attach maps for the location of your local cases (file or paper version). After you have filled all cells (no limits to the number of lines), you are welcome to attach additional information and data on separate sheets of papers.

We will summarise these forms and present our analysis and comments at the meeting in Sierre (if possible by sending a document one week before).


Filled forms must be returned by email to the **Lead Partner** by the **7<sup>th</sup> of May**.

Thank you all for your kind cooperation.

**The Scientific Steering Committee**

## ALPCITY

### Form 1 - Partner's introduction

<b>Name of the partner</b>	Région Rhône-Alpes: Conseil Régional Rhône-Alpes Regional Council
<b>Institutional role of the partner</b>	The Region is responsible for general education and professional training (construction and maintenance of lycées =secondary school for 15-18 year olds, the professional integration....), economic expansion, regional planning (regional passenger transport services, development of the different "localities" of RA.....) and international cooperation.  The Region is make up of an professional assembly (Regional Economic and social Council= 116 members) and an elected assembly (Regional Council=157 members)  Regional Council:Jean-Jack QUEYRANNE President (since April 2004) 15 Vice-President and 6 counsellor delegate
<b>Name of the sector in charge of managing AlpCity</b>	Direction des politiques territoriales/Direction of territorial policies
<b>Role of the sector within the partner's administrative structure</b>	Application policies:: Habitat/lodging/towns with difficulties; Regional Parks, contract territorial, cooperation : cooperation among towns (great, middle); great projects
<b>Partner's expected benefits from the participation to AlpCity</b>	Cooperation little towns and help the little towns of mountains in their own development level territory and region
<b>Indicate the WPs (4-7) in which the partner will undertake local case-projects (and if the partner is WP responsible)</b>	Work package 7-project-cases 4-Cooperation among towns Responsible  
<b>Organisational expectations from the participation to one or more specific WP4-7 (case-projects)</b>	Overall monitoring of WP objectives and common outputs, methods of work, promotion of specialist meetings. Coordination with Territoire de Belfort Valorisation experiences cooperation of towns in the different work By managing the cooperation/network of small towns theme hopes to tiger the development of network that brings together small mountain towns at regional European level to help them develop and assist them with their territorial functions and their interaction with large and medium sized urbanized areas and towns.  The outlines of a charter for the network of small mountain towns at both a regional and European level.
<b>Expectations from the work of AlpCity</b>	Un vital role in the start up, development and end product regarding AlpCity

<b>Scientific Steering activities</b>	
---	--

## ALPCITY

### Form 2 - Description of the concerned territory (geographic and/or institutional areas)

<p><b>Administrative areas within the region</b></p>	<p>Other levels:</p> <p>The state is the guarantor of national sovereignty: as such is responsibilities include in particular such missions as education, justice, law; order and national defence; the economy, the social welfare system, major infrastructures, cultural and foreign affairs.</p> <p>Department (8 Department in RA ) is responsible for the building and upkeep of colleges (secondary schools for children up to the age of 15 years) and for running the various department services (welfare, health and emergency services, departmental roads, school buses.....) The department is governed by a general council which is elected by universal suffrage and headed by a president.</p> <p>Commune are essentially concerned with implementing municipal services, managing municipal property, economic and social.. The commune is governed by a municipal council which is elected by a universal suffrage and headed by the major.</p> <p>Community of communes, community of agglomeration or community urban who group more communes have some competences of the communes.</p> <p>A new leg of competences is in discussion in France</p>
<p><b>Brief description of the mountain character within the whole partner's territory</b></p>	<p>Rhône-Alpes mountains are set in three different areas: the Alps on the Swiss and Italian border, the Jura to the North and the Eastern slopes of France's central mountains, the Massif central</p> <p>Zone of areas=73% of territory regional</p> <p>Zone of mountains (CEE directive 28 April 1975)= 2 M h (RA= 5,9 M h)</p> <p>RA includes more than half of France's listed national sites and six regional parks and, 180 ski resorts .</p>
<p><b>Structure of the towns within the region</b></p>	<p>RA=a dense urban: Lyon is the second largest agglomeration in France 1,260 M h.; 2 urban areas with over 300 000 h: Grenoble and St Etienne; 3 towns more 100 000 h, 78 towns more 10 000 h</p>
<p><b>Names of all administrative area/s involved in the case-projects</b></p>	<p>Communes and community of communes in the three areas of mountain</p>
<p><b>Number of inhabitants in these areas</b></p>	<p>2 M h</p>
<p><b>Specific issues on the structure of the towns in these mountain areas</b></p>	<p>Development sustainable</p>

<p><b>Economic characteristics of these towns</b> <b>(Regional, international or local functions)</b></p>	<p>Functions economic, employment, services</p>
<p><b>Social image of the mountains within the region</b></p>	<p>Values of quality of life, nature. Each valley area has its own local identity and history, but also its own economic and development particularities. Alpes, Jura and massif central: different challenges varying from intensive pressure international traffic to rural isolation</p>
<p><b>Policies for the mountain</b></p>	<p>In the regional council's policies.  To signal: convention 2000/2006 with State and PACA (Alpes), and Franche Comté (Jura) and Auvergne, Languedoc-Roussillon, Limousin, Midi Pyrénées (Massif central)  Laws 9 January 1985 development and protection of mountain  Law project: development of rural territory</p>

## ALPCITY

### Form 3 - Description of the local activities within AlpCity (IMPORTANT: please copy and paste the table, and fill one for each distinct case-project)

<b>Title of the case-project</b>	Cooperation among towns level RA Cooperation among towns level territory
<b>Case-project abstract</b>	
<b>Reasons for the choice of this case-project</b>	
<b>Adopted methodology</b>	<p>List the small towns located in RA mountains area (the first approach will be based on communes with less than 5000 inhabitants, qualified as intermediate or local services pole of centres by Insee (6 in Jura, 46 Alps, 49 Massif central)</p> <p>Analysis of the dominating functions and the specificity and advantages of the mountain development, typology based on a certain number of criteria (distance/proximity to a medium or large sized town; primarily geared to industrial, farming, tourism or service activities and its function with regard to nearby areas...)</p> <p>In same time: invitation to propose candidates at two level for exchange experiences or engineering assistance (strategy based on a development project)</p> <p>Level region: invitation candidates at 7 rural poles and 30 intermediate poles (towns no rural pole but with 2000 h and more) /Insee</p> <p>Level territory: intermediate poles: cluster of towns . Invitation candidates at 5 Regional Parks and 4 territories</p>
<b>Expected benefits (local and regional levels)</b>	More capacity (elected member and technician in the little towns) for sustainable development
<b>Are follow-up activities foreseen ?</b>	
<b>Expected value added (other than financial) from the participation to an INTERREG project</b>	
<b>Expected transnational exchange benefit gained from the case</b>	
<b>Output indicators</b>	
<b>Impact indicators</b>	
<b>Name of the town/s concerned (please list</b>	

<b>all individual towns and other administrative levels concerned by each case-project)</b>	
<b>Demographic, social and economic situation of the town/s concerned and specifically identified problems</b>	
<b>Role of the mountain resource within the local development chances of the town/s concerned (positive or negative)</b>	
<b>Expected contribution of the foreseen actions towards the development of the town/s concerned</b>	
<b>Who is the responsible for the concrete development of the project?</b>	Partner
<b>How are activities sub-contracted? (if it is the case)</b>	
<b>Beside the partner, which administrative authorities are involved in the project? How?</b>	
<b>Who are the main local/regional project actors?</b>	Political
<b>The case is isolated or integrated within a broader frame/ programme of activities? How? How are these activities being financed?</b>	

<p><b>Timetable for the project development (July 2004 - May 2006)</b></p>	<p>Proposition timetable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validation call candidates May/June</li> <li>Candidates September 2004</li> <li>Meeting with towns selected November 04 (SSC AlpCity November 04)</li> <li>Meeting with Territoire de Belfort December 05 (Work 7)</li> <li>Engineering assistances until march 05</li> <li>Meeting with towns and outlines of charter in RA</li> <li>Meeting with Territoire de Belfort June 05 (Work 7) outline of a charter regional and European</li> </ul>
<p><b>Total cost for the case (Euros)</b></p>	
<p><b>Are experts (non SSC) involved into the case and research activities undertaken for the case? What is their role?</b></p>	<p>Engineering assistance of the towns selected level region and territory (“cluster” towns/communes)</p>